Index to Volume 42, 1978

Adamek, Raymond J., Comment on de Boer's review of polls on abortion, 411–13

Adams, William C., *see* Lucas, William

AGENDA SETTING. Gormley, William T., Jr., Television Coverage of State Government, 354–59.

Alba, Richard D., Ethnic Networks and Tolerant Attitudes, 1–16

Alexander, Cheryl S., and Henry Jay Becker. The Use of Vignettes in Survey Research, 93–104

Alexander, Joseph, *see* Niemi, Richard G., et al.

ALPERT, HARRY. In Memoriam, 141–42

Alston, Jon P., *see* Peek, Charles W., et al.

American Association for Public Opinion Research. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference, Michael Rappeport, ed., 424–36; Award, 425; Address, 426–30; AAPOR-WAPOR 1978 Conference Program, 431–34; Business Meeting, 435–36

Anderson, Richard W., and Mark W. Lipsey, Energy Conservation and Attitudes Toward Technology, 17–30

Andreoli, Virginia A., and Stephen Worchel, Effects of Media, Communicator, and Message Position on Attitude Change, 59–70

Atkin, Charles K., and Walter Gantz, Television News and Political Socialization, 183–98

ATTITUDES. Anderson, Richard W., and Mark W. Lipsey, Energy Conservation and Attitudes toward Technology, 17–30; Andreoli, Virginia A., and Stephen Worchel, Effects of Media, Communicator, and Message Position on Attitude Change, 59–70; Bishop, George F., et al., Effects of Question Wording and Format on Political Attitude Consistency, 81–92; Faulkenberry, G. David, and Robert Mason, Characteristics of Nonopinion and No Opinion Response Groups, 533–43; Gutek, Barbara A., On the Accuracy of Retrospective Attitudinal Data, 390–401; Lau, Richard R., et al., Self-Interest and Civilians' Attitudes Toward the Vietnam War, 464–83; Niemi, Richard G., et al., The Similarity of Political Values of Parents and College-age Youths, 503–20; Peek, Charles W., et al., Comparative Evaluation of the Local Police, 370–79; Schreiber, E. M., Education and Change in American Opinions on a Woman for President, 171–82; Wald, Kenneth D., and Michael B. Lupfer, The Presidential Debate as a Civics Lesson, 342–53

AUDIENCE EFFECTS. Miller, Lawrence W., and Lee Sigelman, Is the Audience the Message? A Note on LBJ's Vietnam Statements, 71–80

Baker, Ross K., *see* Pomper, Gerald M., et al.

Becker, Henry Jay, *see* Alexander, Cheryl S., and Henry Jay Becker

Becker, Lee B., review of William T. Gormley, Jr., *The Effects of Newspaper-Television Cross-Ownership on News Homogeneity*, 280–82

BELIEF SYSTEMS. Kritzer, Herbert M., Ideology and American Political Elites, 484–502

Bishop, George F., Robert W. Oldendick, and Alfred J. Tuchfarber, Effects of Question Wording and Format on Political Attitude Consistency, 81–92

Blair, Ed, *see* Bradburn, Norman M., et al.

BOOK NOTES. 282–83

BOOK REVIEWS. 277–82; 437–42; 567–72

Bradburn, Norman M., Seymour Sudman, Ed Blair and Carol Stocking, Question Threat and Response Bias, 221–34; *see* Sudman, Seymour, and Norman H. Bradburn

Brown, Thad A., *see* Lau, Richard R., et al.

CARTER-FORD DEBATES. Lang, Gladys Engel, and Kurt Lang, Immediate and Delayed Responses to a Carter-Ford Debate: Assessing Public Opinion, 322–41

CHILDREN. Atkin, Charles K., and Walter Gantz, Television News and Political Socialization, 183–98; Iyengar, Shanto, Children's Partisan Loyalties in a New Nation: A Research Note Using Indian

Data, 115–25; Klapper, Hope Lunin, Childhood Socialization and Television, 426–30

Chisman, Forest P., *Attitude Psychology and the Study of Public Opinion*, reviewed, 280

Clarke, Peter, and Eric Fredin, Newspapers, Television, and Political Reasoning, 143–60

COMMENTS AND LETTERS, 126; 411–13

COMMUNICATION PROCESS. Sternthal, Brian, et al., The Persuasive Effect of Source Credibility: A Situational Analysis, 285–314

CONSERVATION. Anderson, Richard W., and Mark W. Lipsey, Energy Conservation and Attitudes toward Technology, 17–30

CONSUMER BEHAVIOR. Felson, Marcus, Invidious Distinctions among Cars, Clothes and Suburbs, 49–58

CONTENT ANALYSIS. Miller, Lawrence W., and Lee Sigelman, Is the Audience the Message? A Note on LBJ's Vietnam Statements, 71–80

Crespi, Irving, review of William A. Lucas and William C. Adams, *An Assessment of Telephone Survey Methods*, 569–71; review of Don A. Dillman, *Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method*, 569–71

CURRENT RESEARCH. 259–64; 402–10; 544–50

DEADLINES, USE OF. Roberts, Robert E., et al., Further Evidence on Using a Deadline to Stimulate Responses to a Mail Survey, 407–10

de Boer, Connie, The Polls: Attitudes Toward Homosexuality, 265–76; The Polls: Attitudes Toward Work, 414–23

Declercq, Eugene R., The Use of Polling in Congressional Campaigns, 247–58

Denitch, Bogden, review of Gertrude Joch Robinson, *Tito's Maverick Media: The Politics of Mass Communication in Yugoslavia*, 441–42

Devine, Donald J., Comment on Crespi's Attitude Measurement, Theory and Prediction, 126

Dholakia, Ruby, *see* Sternthal, Brian, et al.

Dillman, Don A., *Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method*, reviewed, 569–71

ECONOMIC INFLUENCES. Klorman, Ricardo, Trend in Personal Finances and the Vote, 31–48; Monroe, Kristen R., Economic Influences on Presidential Popularity, 360–69

EDUCATION. Schreiber, E. M., Education and Change in American Opinions on a Woman for President, 171–82

ELITES. Kritzer, Herbert M., Ideology and American Political Elites, 484–502

ENERGY. Anderson, Richard W., and Mark W. Lipsey, Energy Conservation and Attitudes Toward Technology, 17–30; Fusso, Thomas, The Polls: The Energy Crisis in Perspective, 127–36

ETHNICITY. Alba, Richard D., Ethnic Networks and Tolerant Attitudes, 1–16

FAMILY. Niemi, Richard G., et al., The Similarity of Political Values of Parents and College-age Youths, 503–20

Faulkenberry, G. David, and Robert Mason, Characteristics of Nonopinion and No Opinion Response Groups, 533–43

Felson, Marcus, Invidious Distinctions among Cars, Clothes and Suburbs, 49–58

Forthofer, Ronald N., *see* Roberts, Robert E., et al.

Fredin, Eric, *see* Clarke, Peter, and Eric Fredin

Fusso, Thomas, The Polls: The Energy Crisis in Perspective, 127–36

Gantz, Walter, *see* Atkin, Charles K., and Walter Gantz

Glassman, Marc B., Book Notes, 282–83; Community Standards of Patent Offensiveness: Public Opinion Data and Obscenity Law, 161–70

Gormley, William T., Jr., *The Effects of Newspaper-Television Cross-Ownership on News Homogeneity*, reviewed, 280–82; Television Coverage of State Government, 354–59

Goudy, Willis J., *see* Powers, Edward A., et al.

GREAT BRITAIN. Wober, J. M., Televised Violence and Paranoid Perception: The View from Great Britain, 315–21

Gutek, Barbara A., On the Accuracy of Retrospective Attitudinal Data, 390–401

Harding, Philip A., ed., News and Notes, 137–40; 563–66

Hill, Richard J., and Walter T. Martin, In Memoriam: Harry Alpert, 1912–1977, 141–42

Holm, John D., and John P. Robinson, Ideological Identification and the American Voter, 235–46

HOMOSEXUALITY. de Boer, Connie, The

Polls: Attitudes Toward Homosexuality, 265–76
HOUSE EFFECTS. Smith, Tom W., In Search of House Effects: A Comparison of Responses to Various Questions by Different Survey Organizations, 443–63
IDEOLOGY. Bishop, George F., et al., Effects of Question Wording and Format on Political Attitude Consistency, 81–92; Kritzer, Herbert M., Ideology and American Political Elites, 484–502
INDIA, Iyengar, Shanto, Children's Partisan Loyalties in a New Nation: A Research Note Using Indian Data, 115–25
Inglehart, Ronald, *The Silent Revolution: Among Western Publics*, reviewed, 568–69
IN MEMORIAM. Harry Alpert, 1912–1977, 141–42
ITEM CONGRUENCE. Powers, Edward A., et al., Congruence Between Panel and Recall Data in Longitudinal Research, 380–89
Iyengar, Shanto, Children's Partisan Loyalties in a New Nation: A Research Note Using Indian Data, 115–25
Jacob, Charles E., *see* Pomper, Gerald M., et al.
JOHNSON, LYNDON B., Miller, Lawrence W., and Lee Sigelman, Is the Audience the Message? A Note on LBJ's Vietnam Statements, 71–80
JUDGMENT ANALYSIS. Rohrbaugh, John, and Paul Wehr, Judgment Analysis in Policy Formation: A New Method for Improving Public Participation, 521–32
Kahle, Lynn R., and Bruce Dennis Sales, Personalization of the Outside Envelope in Mail Surveys, 547–50
Katz, Elihu, and George Wedell, with Michael Pilsworth and Dov Shinar, *Broadcasting in the Third World: Promise and Performance*, reviewed, 437–38
Keith, Pat M., *see* Powers, Edward A., et al.
Klapper, Hope Lunin, Childhood Socialization and Television, 426–30
Klecka, William R., and Alfred J. Tuchfarber, Random Digit Dialing: A Comparison to Personal Surveys, 105–14
Klorman, Ricardo, Trend in Personal Finances and the Vote, 31–48
Kritzer, Herbert M., Ideology and American Political Elites, 484–502; review of C. A. O'Muircheartaigh, and C. Payne, eds., *The Analysis of Survey Data: Volume 1, Exploring Data Structures; Volume 2, Model Fitting*, 438–40
Kviz, Frederick J., Random Digit Dialing and Sample Bias, 544–46
Lampert, Shlomo I., A New Approach to Pre-election Polling, 259–64
Lang, Gladys Engel, and Kurt Lang, Immediate and Delayed Responses to a Carter-Ford Debate: Assessing Public Opinion, 322–41
Lang, Kurt, *see* Lang, Gladys Engel, and Kurt Lang
Lau, Richard R., Thad A. Brown, and David O Sears, Self-Interest and Civilians' Attitudes Toward the Vietnam War, 464–83
Lerner, Daniel, review of Elihu Katz, et al., *Broadcasting in the Third World: Promise and Performance*, 437–38
Levy, Mark R., Opinion Leadership and Television News Uses, 402–6
Levy, Mark R., review of Brent D. Ruben, *Communication Yearbook 1*, 277–78
Lipsey, Mark W., *see* Anderson, Richard W., and Mark W. Lipsey
LONGITUDINAL RESEARCH. McCullough, B. Claire, Effects of Variables Using Panel Data: A Review of Techniques, 199–220; Powers, Edward A., et al., Congruence Between Panel and Recall Data in Longitudinal Research, 380–89
Lowe, Goerge D., *see* Peek, Charles W., et al.
Lucas, William A., and William C. Adams, *An Assessment of Telephone Survey Methods*, reviewed, 569–71
Lupfer, Michael B., *see* Wald, Kenneth D., and Michael B. Lupfer
Luttbeg, Norman R., review of Forest P. Chisman, *Attitude Psychology and the Study of Public Opinion*, 280
MAIL SURVEYS. Kahle, Lynn R., and Bruce Dennis Sales, Personalization of the Outside Envelope in Mail Surveys, 547–50; Roberts, Robert E., et al., Further Evidence on Using a Deadline to Stimulate Responses to a Mail Survey, 407–10
Martin, Walter T., *see* Hill, Richard J., and Walter T. Martin
Mason, Robert, *see* Faulkenberry, G. David, and Robert Mason
MASS MEDIA. Andreoli, Virginia A., and Stephen Worchel, Effects of Media, Communicator, and Message Position

on Attitude Change, 59–70; Atkin, Charles K. and Walter Gantz, Television News and Political Socialization, 183–98; Clarke, Peter, and Eric Fredin, Newspapers, Television, and Political Reasoning, 143–60; Gormley, William T., Jr., Television Coverage of State Government, 354–59; Sternthal, Brian, et al., The Persuasive Effect of Source Credibility: A Situational Analysis, 285–314; Wober, J. M., Televised Violence and Paranoid Perception: The View from Great Britain, 315–21

McCullough, B. Claire, Effects of Variables Using Panel Data: A Review of Techniques, 199–220

McCrory, Owen F., *see* Roberts, Robert E., et al.

McWilliams, Wilson Carey, *see* Pomper, Gerald M., et al.

METHODOLOGY. McCullough, B. Claire, Effects of Variables Using Panel Data: A Review of Techniques, 199–220

Miller, Lawrence W., and Lee Sigelman, Is the Audience the Message? A Note on LBJ's Vietnam Statements, 71–80

Monroe, Kristen R., Economic Influences on Presidential Popularity, 360–69

Newkirk, M. Glenn, review of Ronald Inglehart, *The Silent Revolution: Changing Values and Political Styles Among Western Publics*, 568–69

NEWS AND NOTES. 137–40; 563–66

NEWSPAPERS. Clarke, Peter, and Eric Fredin, Newspapers, Television, and Political Reasoning, 143–60

Niemi, Richard G., review of Stanley Allen Renshon, ed., *Handbook of Political Socialization: Theory and Research*, 567

Niemi, Richard G., R. Danforth Ross, and Joseph Alexander, The Similarity of Political Values of Parents and College-age Youths, 503–20

OBSCENITY. Glassman, Marc B., Community Standards of Patent Offensiveness: Public Opinion Data and Obscenity Law, 161–70

Oldendick, Robert W., *see* Bishop, George F., et al.

O'Muircheartaigh, C. A., and C. Payne, eds., *The Analysis of Survey Data: Volume 1, Exploring Data Structures; Volume 2, Model Fitting*, reviewed, 438–40

OPINION. Kritzer, Herbert M., Ideology and American Political Elites, 484–502

OPINION LEADERSHIP. Levy, Mark R., Opinion Leadership and Television News Uses, 402–06

PANAMA. Roshco, Bernard, Polling on Panama—Si; Don't Know; Hell, No!, 551–62

PANEL DATA. McCullough, B. Claire, Effects of Variables Using Panel Data: A Review of Techniques, 199–220

PARTY IDENTIFICATION. Holm, John D., and John P. Robinson, Ideological Identification and the American Voter, 235–46; Iyengar, Shanto, Children's Partisan Loyalties in a New Nation: A Research Note Using Indian Data, 115–25

Payne, C., *see* O'Muircheartaigh, C. A., and C. Payne

Peek, Charles W., Jon P. Alston and George D. Lowe, Comparative Evaluation of the Local Police, 370–79

PERSONAL INTERVIEWING. Klecka, William R., and Alfred J. Tuchfarber, Random Digit Dialing: A Comparison to Personal Surveys, 105–14

PERSUASION. Sternthal, Brian, et al., The Persuasive Effect of Source Credibility: A Situational Analysis, 285–314

Phillips, Lynn W., *see* Sternthal, Brian, et al.

Pilsworth, Michael, *see* Katz, Elihu, et al.

Plotkin, Harry, *see* Pomper, Gerald M., et al.

POLICE. Peek, Charles W., et al., Comparative Evaluation of the Local Police, 370–79

POLICY FORMATION. Rohrbaugh, John, and Paul Wehr, Judgment Analysis in Policy Formation: A New Method for Improving Public Participation, 521–32

POLITICAL ATTITUDES. Lampert, Shlomo I., A New Approach to Public Opinion Polling, 259–64; Iyengar, Shanto, Children's Partisan Loyalties in a New Nation: A Research Note Using Indian Data, 115–25

POLITICAL BEHAVIOR. Bishop, George F., et al., Effects of Question Wording and Format on Political Attitude Consistency, 81–92; Wald, Kenneth D., and Michael B. Lupfer, The Presidential Debate as a Civics Lesson, 342–53

POLITICAL CAMPAIGNING. Declercq, Eugene R., The Use of Polling in Congressional Campaigns, 247–58; Wald,

Kenneth D., and Michael B. Lupfer, The Presidential Debate as a Civics Lesson, 342–53

POLITICAL ECONOMY. Monroe, Kristen R., Economic Influences on Presidential Popularity, 360–69

POLITICAL IDEOLOGY. Holm, John D., and John P. Robinson, Ideological Identification and the American Voter, 235–46

POLITICAL INFORMATION. Clarke, Peter, and Eric Fredin, Newspapers, Television, and Political Reasoning, 143–60

POLITICAL PARTIES. Declercq, Eugene R., The Use of Polling in Congressional Campaigns, 247–58

POLITICAL SOCIALIZATION. Atkin, Charles K., and Walter Gantz, Television News and Political Socialization, 183–98; Niemi, Richard G., et al., The Similarity of Political Values of Parents and College-age Youths, 503–20

POLLING. Lampert, Shlomo I., A New Approach to Public Opinion Polling, 259–64

POLLS. de Boer, Connie, Attitudes Toward Homosexuality, 265–76; de Boer, Connie, Attitudes Toward Work, 414–23; Fusso, Thomas, The Energy Crisis in Perspective, 127–36; Roshco, Bernard, Polling on Panama—Si; Don't Know; Hell, No!, 551–62

Pomper, Gerald M., with Ross K. Baker, Charles E. Jacob, Wilson Carey McWilliams, and Harry Plotkin, with Marlene Pomper, ed., *The Election of 1976: Reports and Interpretations*, reviewed, 440–41

Pomper, Marlene, *see* Pomper, Gerald M., et al.

PORNOGRAPHY. Glassman, Marc B., Community Standards of Patent Offensiveness: Public Opinion Data and Obscenity Law, 161–70

Powers, Edward A., Willis J. Goudy and Pat M. Keith, Congruence Between Panel and Recall Data in Longitudinal Research, 380–89

PRESIDENTIAL DEBATES. Lang, Gladys Engel, and Kurt Lang, Immediate and Delayed Responses to a Carter-Ford Debate: Assessing Public Opinion, 322–41; Wald, Kenneth D., and Michael B. Lupfer, The Presidential Debate as a Civics Lesson, 342–53

PRESIDENTIAL POPULARITY. Monroe, Kristen R., Economic Influences on Presidential Popularity, 360–69

PUBLIC OPINION POLLING. Declercq, Eugene R., The Use of Polling in Congressional Campaigns, 247–58; Lang, Gladys Engel, and Kurt Lang, Immediate and Delayed Responses to a Carter-Ford Debate: Assessing Public Opinion, 322–41

PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS. Peek, Charles W., et al., Comparative Evaluation of the Local Police, 370–79

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. Rohrbaugh, John, and Paul Wehr, Judgment Analysis in Policy Formation: A New Method for Improving Public Participation, 521–32

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN. Alexander, Cheryl S., and Henry Jay Becker, The Use of Vignettes in Survey Research, 93–104

QUESTION-WORDING. Alexander, Cheryl S., and Henry Jay Becker, The Use of Vignettes in Survey Research, 93–104; Bishop, George F., et al., Effects of Question Wording and Format on Political Attitude Consistency, 81–92

RANDOM DIGIT DIALING. Klecka, William R., and Alfred J. Tuchfarber, Random Digit Dialing: A Comparison to Personal Surveys, 105–14; Kviz, Frederick J., Random Digit Dialing and Sample Bias, 544–46

RECALL DATA. Powers, Edward A., et al., Congruence Between Panel and Recall Data in Longitudinal Research, 380–89

Renshon, Stanley Allen, ed., *Handbook of Political Socialization: Theory and Research*, reviewed, 567

RESPONSE BIAS. Bradburn, Norman M., et al., Question Threat and Response Bias, 221–34

RESPONSE EFFECTS. Smith, Tom W., In Search of House Effects: A Comparison of Responses to Various Questions by Different Survey Organizations, 443–63

RESPONSE ERROR. Gutek, Barbara A., On the Accuracy of Retrospective Attitudinal Data, 390–401

RESPONSE RATE. Roberts, E., et al., Further Evi[illegible] Deadline to Stimulate [illegible] Survey, 407–10

RETROSPECTIVE DATA. G[illegible]

A., On the Accuracy of Retrospective Attitudinal Data, 390–401;
Powers, Edward A., et al., Congruence Between Panel and Recall Data in Longitudinal Research, 380–89
Robinson, Gertrude Joch, *Tito's Maverick Media: The Politics of Mass Communication in Yugoslavia*, reviewed, 441–42
Robinson, John P., *see* Holm, John D., and John P. Robinson
Roberts, Robert E., Owen F. McCrory, and Ronald N. Forthofer, Further Evidence on Using a Deadline to Stimulate Responses to a Mail Survey, 407–10
Rohrbaugh, John, and Paul Wehr, Judgment Analysis in Policy Formation: A New Method for Improving Public Participation, 521–32
Roshco, Bernard, The Polls: Polling on Panama—Si; Don't Know; Hell, No!, 551–62
Ross, R. Danforth, *see* Niemi, Richard G., et al.
Ruben, Brent D., ed., *Communication Yearbook I*, reviewed, 277–78
Rubin, Richard L., review of Gerald M. Pomper, et al., *The Election of 1976: Reports and Interpretations*, 44–41
Sales, Bruce Dennis, *see* Kahle, Lynn R., and Bruce Dennis Sales
SAMPLE BIAS. Kviz, Frederick J., Random Digit Dialing and Sample Bias, 544–46
SCALES. Lampert, Shlomo I., A New Approach to Public Opinion Polling, 259–64
Schreiber, E. M., Education and Change in American Opinions on a Woman for President, 171–82; review of Seymour Sudman and Norman H. Bradburn, *Response Effects in Surveys*, 571–72
Sears, David O., *see* Lau, Richard R., et al.
SELF-INTEREST. Lau, Richard R., et al., Self-Interest and Civilians' Attitudes Toward the Vietnam War, 464–83
Shinar, Dov, *see* Katz, Elihu, et al.
Sigelman, Lee, *see* Miller, Lawrence W., and Lee Sigelman
SIMULATION. Lampert, Shlomo I., A New Approach to Public Opinion Polling, 259–64
Singer, Benjamin D., review of Jeremy Tunstall, *The Media Are American—Anglo-American Media in the World*, 278–80
Smith, Tom W., In Search of House Effects: A Comparison of Responses to Various Questions by Different Survey Organizations, 443–63
SOCIAL NETWORKS. Alba, Richard D., Ethnic Networks and Tolerant Attitudes, 1–16
SOCIAL STRATIFICATION. Felson, Marcus, Invidious Distinctions Among Cars, Clothes and Suburbs, 49–58
SOURCE CREDIBILITY EFFECTS. Sternthal, Brian, et al., The Persuasive Effects of Source Credibility: A Situational Analysis, 285–314
STATE GOVERNMENT. Gormley, William T., Jr., Television Coverage of State Government, 354–59
Sternthal, Brian, Lynn W. Phillips and Ruby Dholakia, The Persuasive Effect of Source Credibility: A Situational Analysis, 285–314
Stocking, Carol, *see* Bradburn, Norman M., et al.
STUDENT PROTEST. Niemi, Richard G., et al., The Similarity of Political Values of Parents and College-age Youths, 503–20
Sudman, Seymour, and Norman H. Bradburn, *Response Effects in Surveys*, reviewed, 571–72; *see* Bradburn, Norman M., et al.
SURVEY METHODS. Alexander, Cheryl S., and Henry Jay Becker, The Use of Vignettes in Survey Research, 93–104; Gutek, Barbara A., On the Accuracy of Retrospective Attitudinal Data, 390–201; Faulkenberry, G. David, and Robert Mason, Characteristics of Nonopinion and No Opinion Response Groups, 533–43; Rohrbaugh, John, and Paul Wehr, Judgment Analysis in Policy Formation: A New Method for Improving Public Participation, 521–32; Smith, Tom W., In Search of House Effects: A Comparison of Responses to Various Questions by Different Survey Organizations, 443–63
SURVEY QUESTIONS. Bradburn, Norman M., et al., Question Threat and Response Bias, 221–34
SYMBOLIC ATTITUDES. Lau, Richard R., et al., Self-Interest and Civilians' Attitudes Toward the Vietnam War, 464–83
TECHNOLOGY. Anderson, Richard W., and Mark W. Lipsey, Energy Conservation and Attitudes toward Technology, 17–30
TELEPHONE SURVEYS. Klecka, William

R., and Alfred J. Tuchfarber, Random Digit Dialing: A Comparison to Personal Surveys, 105–14; Kviz, Frederick J., Random Digit Dialing and Sample Bias, 544–46

TELEVISION. Andreoli, Virginia A., and Stephen Worchel, Effects of Media, Communicator, and Message Position on Attitude Change, 59–70; Atkin, Charles K., and Walter Gantz, Television News and Political Socialization, 183–98; Clarke, Peter, and Eric Fredin, Newspapers, Television, and Political Reasoning, 143–60; Gormley, William T., Jr., Television Coverage of State Government, 354–59; Klapper, Hope Lunin, Childhood Socialization and Television, 426–30; Lang, Gladys Engel, and Kurt Lang, Immediate and Delayed Responses to a Carter-Ford Debate: Assessing Public Opinion, 322–41; Levy, Mark R., Opinion Leadership and Television News Uses, 402–06; Wober, J. M., Televised Violence and Paranoid Perception: The View from Great Britain, 315–21

TOLERANCE. Alba, Richard D., Ethnic Networks and Tolerant Attitudes, 1–16

Tuchfarber, Alfred J., *see* Bishop, George F., et al.; *see* Klecka, William R., and Alfred J. Tuchfarber

Tunstall, Jeremy, *The Media Are American—Anglo-American Media on the World*, reviewed, 278–80

USES AND GRATIFICATIONS. Levy, Mark R., Opinion Leadership and Television News Uses, 402–06

VIGNETTES. Alexander, Cheryl S., and Henry Jay Becker, The Use of Vignettes in Survey Research, 93–104

VIETNAM. Lau, Richard R., et al., Self-Interest and Civilians' Attitudes Toward the Vietnam War, 464–83; Miller, Lawrence W., and Lee Sigelman, Is the Audience the Message? A Note on LBJ's Vietnam Statements, 71–80

VIOLENCE. Wober, J. M., Televised Violence and Paranoid Perception: The View from Great Britain, 315–21

VOTING. Holm, John D., and John P. Robinson, Ideological Identification and the American Voter, 235–46; Klorman, Ricardo, Trend in Personal Finances and the Vote, 31–48

Wald, Kenneth D., and Michael B. Lupfer, The Presidential Debate as a Civics Lesson, 342–53

Wedell, George, *see* Katz, Elihu, and George Wedell

Wehr, Paul, *see* Rohrbaugh, John, and Paul Wehr

Wober, J. M., Televised Violence and Paranoid Perception: The View from Great Britain, 315–21

WOMEN. Schreiber, E. M., Education and Change in American Opinions on a Woman for President, 171–82

Worchel, Stephen, *see* Andreoli, Virginia A., and Stephen Worchel

WORK AND LEISURE TIME. de Boer, Connie, The Polls: Attitudes Toward Work, 414–23